### **NEW FOREST WEST BRANCH**

**NEWSLETTER No.18, November 2015** 

### **Open Branch Meeting**

A Christmas celebration, with music, discussion, and tea with homemade cakes

# Saturday, 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2.0 pm – 2.45 pm

Doors open 1.30 pm

Venue: Catholic Church Hall, Mount Avenue, New Milton, Hants. BH25 6NT
Paul Bailey, our parliamentary candidate this year, will give a short talk, and Roy Swales, UKIP's
Hampshire candidate for the Police and Crime Commissioner elections next May, will join us to talk
about his campaign and answer any questions about crime and policing. We will also want to discuss
the forthcoming Referendum and the increasingly serious international situation.

The meeting is open to everyone - please bring family, friends and supporters. All are welcome.

## Too many do-gooders!

### By Paul Bailey

(This article was written by Paul about a month ago, before the recent appalling attacks in France.)

WHEN I was asked to contribute an article regarding the EU debate, it was tempting to immediately call upon my business background and make a case why business GB would, at least, be no worse off for leaving the EU. However, having seen many shocking consequences of mass and effectively uncontrolled immigration, I wanted to write as a Christian Humanist rather than a UKIP PPC, thereby making a wider point.

Those earnestly in favour of free movement, population explosion and a strong multicultural society see themselves on the moral high ground as society's "do-gooders". They should note, however, that those opposing them are not hard-line, far right wing nationalists, but more often than not they are ordinary decent citizens who also have a will to do the right thing by family, friends and the country at large. The difference is that the latter group's desire to do the right thing is not born of an abandonment of the real world for a reckless vision of utopian society, but rather one that balances the needs and well-being of society with practical and common sense actions. Here I do go back to my commercial background for it has inextricably taught me over several decades that an organisation, or a country, even, is only strong and beneficial for the majority if it has strong infrastructure, clear leadership and a well-defined culture that ALL work hard towards maintaining. The adage "when in Rome, do as the Romans do" has never been more relevant.

And so onto something of those appalling events I referred to. I recently saw people wanting to do the right thing handing out (through the Red Cross) food and drink to large numbers of immigrants at a train station (in Hungary, I believe), only to have these emergency provisions thrown back at them and onto the train tracks. I was profoundly shocked to learn that the medical symbol of the Red Cross was being associated with Christianity and thereby used to justify such crass actions. Their version of the Muslim faith hates and denies any link to Christianity, even humanitarian aid. I am sure there were some on the train who were in great need but too scared to go against the loud and aggressive hardliners in front of them. That said, these provisions were being handed out by Police (in good numbers) and if they really needed genuine help then they needed to stand up and be counted, in other words helping us to help them! In the face of such actions, we should therefore ask how many of these so-called asylum seekers really do want whatever assistance we Europeans can give, or how many will simply take what they will,

on their terms, with no regard for the indigenous population or cultures they impose upon? Where it is the latter, it is not uncontrolled immigration or movement of desperate refugees, it is AN INVASION.

Unfortunately, the do-gooders I spoke of earlier are largely ignorant of the erosion their zealous politically correct way of life has on our cherished sense of freedom. They will no doubt be on the side of those at the Queen Elizabeth (Queen Mother) hospital in London who moved a serving RAF serviceman, injured in training, from one isolationist room to another for fear of offending the political sensitivities of other patients! Apparently those patients may have been offended by catching sight of his uniform rather than worrying for his well being or indeed even their own! If we allow these sorts of decisions and actions to go unpunished in quite literally the mother of all British hospitals, then what is the point of remembrance parades where we honour and state how proud we are of our armed forces? That would be absolute HYPOCRISY.

Speaking personally, I have always said that the population debate is more about numbers than a question of who is who. That is, providing of course those living here subscribe to a life respectful of our historical legacy and culture. History over the past 100 years has shown us that the UK's infrastructure is generally speaking able to support a population of circa 50 million. What, then, do we do with circa 65 million people and rapid growth continuing unabated and unchecked? In the absence of practical actions, the inevitable result will be implosion. No matter how many staff and facilities are employed, we have a finite amount of space and money. Demand will inevitably continue to outstrip supply, leading to the loss of GB as we once knew her. And like most relationships we once coveted, one only misses them when they are no longer part of our lives and no amount of yearning or corrective action can ever bring them back!

Theresa May, Conservative Home Secretary, was right to say that the pace of immigration the UK has experienced does not work for a cohesive society, that some immigration is good for our UK society but not at the level and speed that has overwhelmed our country since the Blair government. How arrogant, then, for the Chairman of the "Institute of Directors" to assume he spoke on behalf of "Directors" everywhere when he criticised Mrs May for her comments. Trying to protect and encourage low paid, free movement of labour is a short-sighted approach to the apparent benefit of his members, but fundamentally undermines better-paid jobs for UK residents, including those legal immigrants granted leave to remain here. He certainly does not speak on behalf of my business. As a Director of business and pleased to say not a member of the IOD, I am happy to base my business model on paying a living wage rather than a minimum wage. You see, I have come full circle here, I am now the so called do-gooder but one that is motivated and influenced by what is best for the British. Once our own house is in order, I and others can turn our attention to helping the wider world.